

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/057,852		01/25/2002	Lori A. Frauenhofer	2002B012	1578
23455	7590	04/23/2003			
EXXONMOBIL CHEMICAL COMPANY				EXAMINER	
P O BOX 21 BAYTOWN	-	7522-2149		AHMAD, NASSER	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1772	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Applicant(s) 10/057,852

Frauenhofer

Office Action Summary Examiner

Nasser Ahmad

Art Unit 1772



Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIREthree MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED [35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on	n.
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If INO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	n.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seared patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). - Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on	n.
mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any serred patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.	n.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on	n.
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	n.
Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.	n.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	n.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.	n.
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.	n.
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.	n.
4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected.	n.
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.	n.
6) X Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.	
6, 4 5, 6, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11,	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement	nt.
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Exami	iner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.	
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.	
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/057,852

Art Unit: 1772

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification fails to teach the basis for the percentage of composition of the core layer. In the absence of such basis, it is not deemed to be enabling.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-7 and 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freedman (6,461,706) in view of Josephy (6,210,524).
- 5. Freedman relates to a co-extruded face stock for labels (abstract) comprising as shown in Figures 1-3, a cure layer with two skin layers adhered to it (col. 10, lines 40-50). The cure layer includes a blend of about 5% to 95% by weight of propylene homopolyner and 95% to 5% by weight of at least one propylene copolymer such as with an ethylenic content of 2 to 10% by weight (col. 3, lines 50-55, and col. 4, lines 20-24 and 34-40). The skin layer may contain anti-block agent in an amount of 500 to

Application/Control Number: 10/057,852

Art Unit: 1772

5000 ppm (col. 6, lines 59-62). The thickness of the core layer and the skin layers are 1 to mils (core), and the skin layers being less than 0.5 mils (col. 9, lines 11-12 and col. 10, lines 30-36). Also disclosed in Freedman is a label stock comprising a face stock, a pressure sensitive adhesive layer covered with a release liner. However, Freedman fails to teach that the co-extruded face stock is biaxially oriented. Josephy discloses a label face stock (abstract) wherein the face stock can be uniaxially or biaxially oriented to improve the properties of the face stock (col. 7, lines 5-13). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize Josephy's teaching of providing biaxial orientation to the face stock in the invention of Freedman.

As for the transverse direction orientation degree being 7 to 10 and the machine direction degree of orientation being 3.5 to 6, it would have been obvious optimization through routine experimentation for stiffness, dispensability, die-cutability, etc. properties.

- 6. Claims 8-10 and 18-20 are free of the prior art uncovered so far in that acrylicbased coating adhered to the outer surface of the first skin layer is not taught.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nasser Ahmad whose telephone number is (703) 308-4424. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. and on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on (703) 308-4251. The fax phone numbers

Application/Control Number: 10/057,852

Art Unit: 1772

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 305-7115 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

NASSER AHMAD PRIMARY EXAMINER

N. Ahmad/dh April 21, 2003